

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bec 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/669,076	09/22/2003	Takahiro Mori	03574/HG	2685		
1933	7590 06/24/2005		EXAMINER			
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC			GILLIAM. BARBARA LEE			
220 5TH AVE NEW YORK,	NY 10001-7708		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ĺ			1752			

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•			/L				
Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)	(				
		10/669,076		MORI					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		- Constitution of the little	Gilliam	<b>#</b> 1752					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SH	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	IS SET TO EXP	IRE 3 MONTH(	S) FROM					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 March 2005.								
, —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3)									
-,_	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•							
4)[∑]	Claim(s) 1-13 and 15-17 is/are pending in the a	annlication							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 15-17</u> is/are allowed.								
· · ·	Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are rejected.								
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	ion Papers								
ا ا ۵	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r '							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
٠٠/	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
_	_	priority under 35	IISC 8 110(a)	. (d) or (f)					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	-		ed in this National S	Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
	the attached detailed Office action for a fist of	or the certified co	pies not receive	u.		•			
•	w.,								
Attachmen	• •	,, <del>[]</del> .	- <b>t C</b>	(DTO 140)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.									
3) Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) 🔲 I		atent Application (PTO-	-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/669,076

Art Unit: WWW 1752

Acknowledgment is made of cancellation of claim 14 in the amendment filed March 25, 2005. Claims 1-13 and 15-17 remain in the case.

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mori et al., U.S. pat. No. 6,399,270.

Mori teaches a printing plate comprising at least one component layer, which can be hydrophilic, and an image-forming layer can be provided thereon (col. 12, lines 41-52). A light to heat converting material may be contained in either layer (col. 13, lines 30-41). The image recording layer contains a thermally fusible material such as fatty acid amides which can be used in combination with particles. The component layer additionally contains infrared dye absorbing compounds which will be mixed with the amides and the particles upon heating. The difference between the reference and the application is the reference does not specifically state that the electron accepting developer will mix with the amides. However, since the electron accepting developer is in the layer, it will mix when heated. This is the nature of thermal developing. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the electron accepting developer to the layer with the particles and amide compounds because the reference

Application/Control Number: 10/669,076

Art Unit: 175亿

suggests using an electron accepting developer in the imaging layer as a color former.

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed March 25, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 4. Applicant argues that the Mori reference does not provide the missing component of the dye precursor or organic electron accepting developing agent.
- 5. The examiner disagrees. In column 48 of Mori, it is noted that the use of electron acceptor developer is well known and commonly used in image forming materials including thermal techniques. If not anticipated, it is certainly obvious to use electron accepting developers in the present invention.
- 6. Claims 1-12 and 15-17 are allowed.
- 7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1752

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia H. Kelly, can be reached on 571 272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TECHNOLOCY SENTER 1700 SUPERIOR H. KELLY CYNTHA H. KELLY

CYNTHIA H. KELLY
SUPERVISORY FATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CEALER 1700

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700